# **Cross-Organizational Continual Learning of Cyber Threat Models**

## Introduction

- Intrusion detection systems are developed to help detect cyber threats across networks.
- Yet, cyber threats evolve over time and follow different patterns across various organizations.
  - Continuous detection of changing data is difficult by traditional means<sup>[1]</sup>

### **Consider:**

An incoming stream of network traffic from two different organizations.

- Similar attack types have different patterns across organizations
- New attack types are also present in each organization

**Stream encounters both gradual and sudden** changes in attack patterns

### **Related Works**

### PNNs / EWC / SI / iCaRL / GEM

- **PNNs**<sup>[2]</sup> constructs new networks as novel tasks occur, resulting in linearly increasing memory requirement.
- EWC & SI<sup>[3,4]</sup> extends loss function with a term that consolidates selective network weights, but requires explicit task boundaries.
- **iCaRL**<sup>[5]</sup> combined use of replay and distillation but still requires explicit task boundaries.
- **GEM**<sup>[6]</sup> builds optimization constraints using old data but less effective across shifting domains.

Methods	PNN [35]	PackNet [28]	HAT [37]	ER [31, 33]	MER [33]	GSS [1]	GEM [27]	A-GEM [9]	HAL [8]	iCaRL [32]	FDR [4]	LwF [24]	SI [42]	oEWC [20]	DER (ours)	DER++ (ours)
Constant memory	-	-	-	✓	1	1	1	✓	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
No task boundaries	-	-	÷	✓	1	1	-	1	-	Η	Ţ	Ξ	-	Η	1	1
No test time oracle	-	×	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ж	1	1	1	1

**Table.1.** Side-by-side comparison of continual learning strategies<sup>[6]</sup>

### **Experience Replay (ER)**

- **ER**<sup>[7]</sup> eliminates need for task boundaries, test time oracle, and enforces constant memory footprint.
- Potentially more suited for real-world scenarios with gradual and sudden shifts in data.

# Methodology

# to learn from.



# **Chanel Cheng, Shanchieh Jay Yang**

 
 Table.2.
 Aggregate port mapping and
one-hot encoding maps the most commonly used port numbers to their corresponding port services and one-hot encodes them as features for the model



Figure.1. Example data stream for task-agnostic continual learning on network traffic flow from CIC-IDS-2018<sup>[8]</sup> and USB-IDS-2021<sup>[9]</sup>

• Two datasets were converted into a single data stream for continual learning without task boundaries.

• Regular benign traffic and malicious traffic are present together in stream (with benign as the majority of traffic)

• Order and source of data does not matter for our continual model in learning the attack types



**Figure.2.** Flow diagram for the continual learning strategy.

• Replay buffer of fixed size with older samples replaced as new samples are selected to the buffer.

• Only expert-labeled samples saved to the buffer train and update the model.

#### **Experiment & Results** Benign Malicious 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 iteration 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 - Benign 0.5 - DoS-Hulk 0.4 - DoS-Slowloris 0.3 — DoS-SlowHttpTest 0.2 - DoS-GoldenEye 0.1 ---- DoS-TCPFlood iteration

**Figure.3.** (a) Average ratio of samples saved to buffer and (b) f1-score for network traffic classification as new DoS classes were introduced over 14 iterations.

# **Observations & Future Works**

- By the 10th iteration, no more samples are required to be labeled, while most of the F1-scores reach above 0.9 except DoS-GoldenEye (~0.88) and DoS-Slowloris ( $\sim 0.8$ ), both of which have a much smaller sample size.
- This learning strategy largely reduces the number of labeled data needed and can quickly reach good prediction performance.

### **Future Works:**

1) Expand the experiment to include more attack types, 2) further investigate class imbalance issue, and 3) optimize the sampling strategy for replay buffer.

# References

[1] D. Silver, Q. Yang, and L. Li. 2013. Lifelong machine learning systems: Beyond learning algorithms. In 2013 AAAI spring symposium series.

[2] A. Rusu, N. Rabinowitz, G. Desjardins, H. Soyer, J. Kirkpatrick, K. Kavukcuoglu, R. Pascanu, and R. Hadsell. 2016. Progressive neural networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.04671 (2016).

[3] J. Kirkpatrick, R. Pascanu, N. Rabinowitz, J. Veness, G. Desjardins, A. Rusu, K. Milan, J. Quan, T. Ramalho, A. Grabska-Barwinska, et al. 2017. Overcoming catastrophic forgetting in neural networks. Proceedings of the national academy of sciences 114, 13 (2017), 3521-3526.

[4] F. Zenke, B. Poole, and S. Ganguli. 2017. Continual learning through synaptic intelligence. In International **Conference on Machine Learning. PMLR, 3987–3995** 

[5] S.-A. Rebuffi, A. Kolesnikov, G. Sperl, and C. Lampert. 2017. icarl: Incremental classifier and representation learning. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2001–2010.

[6] D. Lopez-Paz and M. Ranzato. 2017. Gradient episodic memory for continual learning. Advances in neural information processing systems 30 (2017).

[7] P. Buzzega, M. Boschini, A. Porrello, D. Abati, and S. Calderara. 2020. Dark experience for general continual learning: a strong, simple baseline. Advances in neural information processing systems 33 (2020), 15920–15930.

[8] "CIC-IDS-2018 on AWS." https://www.unb.ca/cic/datasets/ids-2018.html (accessed Jul. 18, 2022).

[9] "USB-IDS-1." http://idsdata.ding.unisannio.it/datasets.html (accessed Jul. 18, 2022).



