The Emperor's New Autofill Framework: A Security Analysis of Autofill on iOS and Android



Sean Oesch



Anuj Gautam



Scott Ruoti



Background

Motivation and information on mobile autofill frameworks



Motivation

- Prior evaluations focused on desktop managers
- Autofill frameworks are unique to mobile and present their own set of security challenges
 - Could be single point of failure
- We set out to understand three different approaches to an autofill framework on mobile



Autofill on Mobile

- Multiple contexts for autofill
 - Browser
 - Apps
- Multiple approaches to autofill



Contexts for Autofill in Apps



Native UI Elements



WebView



Custom UI Elements



iOS App Extensions

- iOS 8 2014
- Popular managers still support – 1Password, Keeper, LastPass
- Older devices prior iOS 12



(a) Selecting app extension

(b) Selecting password



iOS AutoFill

- iOS 12 2018
- Controls entire autofill process
 - form identification
 - mapping app and domain
 - user interface
 - autofill





 \odot

o p

next

Android Autofill Service

- Android 8 (Oreo) 2017 replaces accessibility service
- Leaves a lot of leeway to individual managers

ameName			
	Phone, email, or username		
	Password		
Email	● Keeper Fill + Q		
Password	Login to twitter.com with Keeper		
LastPass ···· I	foo@bar.com		
walmart.com leen71620@gmail.com SIGN IN OR REGISTER	Login leen71620@gmail.com		
	(b) Keeper		
(a) LastPass			



Approach

Systematic evaluation of autofill properties and testing methodology



Secure Autofill Properties

- Managers should only fill credentials when:
 - P1: Users explicitly authorize operation
 - P2: Credential is securely mapped to web domain or app
 - P3: Credential is only accessible to mapped domain
- Protects against credential scraping and phishing

Autofill dialogue tells user it is safe to fill credentials



Testing

- Strategy
 - Evaluated 14 managers implemented with the autofill frameworks
 - Considered all three properties in all supported contexts
 - Looking for what the framework enforces, what it fails to enforce, and what it prevents managers from enforcing
- Environment
 - iPhone 7 running iOS 13, using Safari for browser tests
 - Genymotion Android emulator
 - Simulated a Google Pixel 2 running Android 9 (Pie)
 - Chrome for browser



11

Results Browser, Native UI Elements, and WebView



12



- Secure behavior **U** Fartially secure behavior
- \bigcirc Insecure behavior \Subset Delegated to password manager



Cross-origin phishing attack

	📲 Verizon 🗢	6:08 PM	4 59% 🔳)
Cross-origin iframe overlay	AA	evilwalmart.com	ى
of any walmart.com page with XSS vulnerability	Sign in Email address (require	n to your Walmart a fress (required)	ccount
	Password (required	I) Show	Show password?
	Vichec	ne signed in k if using a public device. Sign in	
	Log in to	walmart.com using	LastPass?
		Use "user"	
			9
	2		



Autofill in Native UI Elements



- \bullet Secure behavior \bigcirc Insecure behavior
 - \circledast Delegated to password manager



15



WebView Overview



 \bullet Secure behavior \bigcirc Insecure behavior \circledast Delegated to password manager



Violation P2

- Credential should be mapped to website hosted in WebView
- Some managers/frameworks fill the app credentials into any website hosted in WebView
- Users are conditioned to trust autofill dialogues



WebView Overview



 $lacetic{}$ Secure behavior $\hlowtil{}$ Delegated to password manager

Violation P3

- A host app should not be able to access credentials filled into a WebView
- Both iOS and Android allow JS callbacks



Summary & Recommendations

- P1: Users explicitly authorize operation
 - Obeyed by all mobile autofill frameworks in all contexts
- P2: Credential is securely mapped to web domain or app
 - Need a secure bi-directional app-to-domain mapping
 - Should disable autofill in cross-origin iframes
- P3: Credential is only accessible to mapped domain
 - Need secure autofill in WebView and Browser

Questions?

toesch1@vols.utk.edu

@oeschsec

